

11- Modular Characters for \overline{S}_{27}

Ahmed Hussein Jassim

Department of Mathematics, College of Science / University of Basrah, Iraq
ahmedhussein1981@ymail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Submission date: 26/6/2019

Acceptance date: 4/12/2019

Publication date: 31/12/2019

Abstract

In this paper we find decomposition matrices for the spin characters \overline{S}_{27} modulo 11 by using method of (r, \bar{r}) -inducing.

Keywords: 20c20, 20c25, 20c30.

I. Introduction

The projective characters of symmetric group S_n it's called the spin characters, which are ordinary characters of \overline{S}_n indexed by the bar partitions of n [1],[2]. The information about the spin characters is little and There is no general method for finding the decomposition matrix for spin characters of S_n that is the relation between the ordinary and modular characters [3],[4]. Some who searched the same topic when $p = 7$, like A.O. Morris, A.K.Yaseen[4], S. A. Taban [9], A. H. Jassim, M. M. Jawad [5],[7]. Some of the symbols used in this paper, $\langle \lambda \rangle^{no}$ means no the number of i.m.s. in $\langle \lambda \rangle$, (i.m.s.) is irreducible modular spin character. (p.i.s.) is principle indecomposable spin character [7].

II. Results

In this paper we found a decomposition matrices for spin S_{27} modulo 11 was a degree (288,241) [4],[6], where it contains are 63 blocks, B_1, B_2, B_3 of defect two and, B_4, B_5, \dots, B_{17} are of defect one. The others blocks of defect zero.

Lemma (1). The Brauer trees for the blocks B_4, B_5, \dots, B_{17} respectively are:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle 24,2,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 13,12,2 \rangle^* _ \langle 13,11,2,1 \rangle = \\ & \langle 13,11,2,1 \rangle' _ \langle 13,8,3,2,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 13,7,4,2,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 13,6,5,2,1 \rangle^*, \\ & \langle 23,3,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 14,12,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 12,11,3,1 \rangle = \\ & \langle 12,11,3,1 \rangle' _ \langle 12,9,3,2,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 12,7,4,3,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 12,6,5,3,1 \rangle^*, \\ & \langle 21,6 \rangle _ \langle 17,10 \rangle \setminus \langle 11,10,6 \rangle^* \setminus \langle 10,9,6,2 \rangle _ \langle 10,8,6,3 \rangle _ \langle 10,7,6,4 \rangle \\ & \langle 21,6 \rangle' _ \langle 17,10 \rangle' / \langle 10,9,6,2 \rangle' _ \langle 10,8,6,3 \rangle' _ \langle 10,7,6,4 \rangle', \\ & \langle 21,4,2 \rangle^* _ \langle 15,10,2 \rangle^* _ \langle 13,10,4 \rangle^* _ \langle 11,10,4,2 \rangle = \\ & \langle 11,10,4,2 \rangle' _ \langle 10,8,4,3,2 \rangle^* _ \langle 10,6,5,4,2 \rangle^*, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left. \begin{array}{l} \langle 20,7 \rangle _ \langle 18,9 \rangle \\ \langle 20,7 \rangle' _ \langle 18,9 \rangle' \end{array} \right\} \langle 11,9,7 \rangle^* \left/ \begin{array}{l} \langle 10,9,7,1 \rangle _ \langle 9,8,7,3 \rangle _ \langle 9,7,6,5 \rangle \\ \langle 10,9,7,1 \rangle' _ \langle 9,8,7,3 \rangle' _ \langle 9,7,6,5 \rangle' \end{array} \right. \\
 & \langle 20,6,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 17,9,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 12,9,6 \rangle^* _ \langle 11,9,6,1 \rangle = \langle 11,9,6,1 \rangle' _ \langle 9,8,6,3,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 9,7,6,4,1 \rangle^*, \\
 & \langle 20,4,3 \rangle^* _ \langle 15,9,3 \rangle^* _ \langle 14,9,4 \rangle^* _ \langle 11,9,4,3 \rangle = \langle 11,9,4,3 \rangle' _ \langle 10,9,4,3,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 9,6,5,4,3 \rangle^*, \\
 & \langle 19,7,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 18,8,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 12,8,7 \rangle^* _ \langle 11,8,7,1 \rangle = \langle 11,8,7,1 \rangle' _ \langle 9,8,7,2,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 8,7,6,5,1 \rangle^*, \\
 & \langle 19,6,2 \rangle^* _ \langle 17,8,2 \rangle^* _ \langle 13,8,6 \rangle^* _ \langle 11,8,6,2 \rangle = \langle 11,8,6,2 \rangle' _ \langle 10,8,6,2,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 8,7,6,4,2 \rangle^*, \\
 & \left. \begin{array}{l} \langle 19,5,2,1 \rangle _ \langle 16,8,2,1 \rangle _ \langle 13,8,5,1 \rangle _ \langle 12,8,5,2 \rangle \\ \langle 19,5,2,1 \rangle' _ \langle 16,8,2,1 \rangle' _ \langle 13,8,5,1 \rangle' _ \langle 12,8,5,2 \rangle' \end{array} \right\} \langle 11,8,5,2,1 \rangle^* \left/ \begin{array}{l} \langle 8,7,5,4,2,1 \rangle \\ \langle 8,7,5,4,2,1 \rangle' \end{array} \right. \\
 & \langle 18,6,3 \rangle^* _ \langle 17,7,3 \rangle^* _ \langle 14,7,6 \rangle^* _ \langle 11,7,6,3 \rangle = \langle 11,7,6,3 \rangle' _ \langle 10,7,6,3,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 9,7,6,3,2 \rangle^*, \\
 & \left. \begin{array}{l} \langle 18,6,2,1 \rangle _ \langle 17,7,2,1 \rangle _ \langle 13,7,6,1 \rangle _ \langle 12,7,6,2 \rangle \\ \langle 18,6,2,1 \rangle' _ \langle 17,7,2,1 \rangle' _ \langle 13,7,6,1 \rangle' _ \langle 12,7,6,2 \rangle' \end{array} \right\} \langle 11,7,6,2,1 \rangle^* \left/ \begin{array}{l} \langle 8,7,6,3,2,1 \rangle \\ \langle 8,7,6,3,2,1 \rangle' \end{array} \right. \\
 & \left. \begin{array}{l} \langle 18,5,3,1 \rangle _ \langle 16,7,3,1 \rangle _ \langle 14,7,5,1 \rangle _ \langle 12,7,5,3 \rangle \\ \langle 18,5,3,1 \rangle' _ \langle 16,7,3,1 \rangle' _ \langle 14,7,5,1 \rangle' _ \langle 12,7,5,3 \rangle' \end{array} \right\} \langle 11,7,5,3,1 \rangle^* \left/ \begin{array}{l} \langle 9,7,5,3,2,1 \rangle \\ \langle 9,7,5,3,2,1 \rangle' \end{array} \right. \\
 & \langle 17,4,3,2,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 15,6,3,2,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 14,6,4,2,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 13,6,4,3,1 \rangle^* _ \langle 12,6,4,3,2 \rangle^* _ \langle 11,6,4,3,2,1 \rangle = \\
 & \langle 11,6,4,3,2,1 \rangle'
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First we find B_6 by used the (6,6) – inducing p.i.s. $D_{76}, D_{77}, \dots, D_{80}$, of S_{26} to S_{27} we get on k_1, k_2, \dots, k_5 . Since it's associate[4] so $\langle 22,6 \rangle \neq \langle 22,6 \rangle'$ then k_1 splits or there are two columns: $Y_1 = a_1 \langle 21,6 \rangle + a_2 \langle 17,10 \rangle + a_3 \langle 11,10,6 \rangle^* + a_4 \langle 10,9,6,2 \rangle + a_5 \langle 10,8,6,3 \rangle + a_6 \langle 10,7,6,4 \rangle, Y_2 = a_1 \langle 21,6 \rangle' + a_2 \langle 17,10 \rangle' + a_3 \langle 11,10,6 \rangle^* + a_4 \langle 10,9,6,2 \rangle' + a_5 \langle 10,8,6,3 \rangle' + a_6 \langle 10,7,6,4 \rangle',$ $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_6 \in \{0,1\}$ (B_6 of defect one)[1]. Let $a_1 = 1$ (if $a_1 = 0$ contradiction) as $\langle 21,6 \rangle \downarrow S_{26} \cap \langle 10,9,6,2 \rangle \downarrow S_{26}$ has no i.m.s so $a_4 = 0$. In same way we get $a_5, a_6 = 0$. But $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$ only when $a_1 = a_2 = 1, a_3 = 0$ so that $k_1 = d_{111} + d_{112}$. In the same way we part k_4, k_5 to $d_{117}, d_{118}, d_{119}, d_{120}$. Since B_6 of defect one so k_2, k_3 are splits to $d_{113}, d_{114}, d_{115}, d_{116}$ [6]. Block B_8 can be found by used the same way above.

For B_{13} we used (r, \bar{r}) -inducing p.i.s. $D_{121}, D_{122}, \dots, D_{124}, D_{133}, D_{127}, D_{129}, D_{130}$, of S_{26} to S_{27} we got on $d_{156}, d_{157}, d_{158}, d_{159}, k_1, k_2, d_{164}, d_{165}$. Since it's associate so k_1 divided or there are two columns: $Y_1 = a_1 \langle 13,8,5,1 \rangle + a_2 \langle 12,8,5,2 \rangle + a_3 \langle 11,8,5,2,1 \rangle^* + a_4 \langle 8,7,5,4,2,1 \rangle, Y_2 = a_1 \langle 13,8,5,1 \rangle' + a_2 \langle 12,8,5,2 \rangle' + a_3 \langle 11,8,5,2,1 \rangle^* + a_4 \langle 8,7,5,4,2,1 \rangle',$ $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_4 \in \{0,1\}$ [6]. Let $a_1 = 1$ and, since $\langle 13,8,5,1 \rangle \downarrow S_{26} \cap \langle 11,8,5,2,1 \rangle^* \downarrow S_{26}$ has no i.m.s so $a_3 = 0$, the same we get $a_4 = 0$ so $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$ only when $a_1, a_2 = 1, a_3 = 0$ then $k_1 = d_{160} + d_{161}$. Since $\langle 12,8,5,2,1 \rangle, \langle 12,8,5,2,1 \rangle'$ are p.i.s. of S_{28} (of defect zero in $S_{28}, p = 11$) and,

$\langle 12,8,5,2,1 \rangle \downarrow_{(1,0)} S_{28} = \langle 11,8,5,2,1 \rangle^* + \langle 12,8,5,2 \rangle = d_{162}, \langle 12,8,5,2,1 \rangle' \downarrow_{(1,0)} S_{28} = \langle 11,8,5,2,1 \rangle^* + \langle 12,8,5,2 \rangle' = d_{163}$ then $k_2 = d_{162} + d_{163}$. In the same way we proved the blocks B_{15}, B_{16} . The other blocks we found were used the (r, \bar{r}) –inducing from S_{26} to S_{27} directly. Finally we find the Brauer trees from the blocks of the decomposition [1].

Lemma (2). The block B_1 is of a double and the decomposition matrix for is table (1).

Table (1)

$\langle 27 \rangle^*$	1																			
$\langle 22,5 \rangle$	1	1																		
$\langle 21,5,1 \rangle^*$		1	1																	
$\langle 20,5,2 \rangle^*$			1	1																
$\langle 9,5,3 \rangle^*$				1	1															
$\langle 18,5,4 \rangle^*$					1	1														
$\langle 16,11 \rangle$	1	1					1													
$\langle 16,10,1 \rangle^*$	2	1	1				1	1												
$\langle 16,9,2 \rangle^*$			1	1				1	1											
$\langle 16,8,3 \rangle^*$				1	1				1	1										
$\langle 16,7,4 \rangle^*$					1	1				1	1									
$\langle 16,6,5 \rangle^*$						1					1									
$\langle 15,7,5 \rangle^*$										1	1	1								
$\langle 14,8,5 \rangle^*$									1	1		1	1							
$\langle 13,9,5 \rangle^*$								1	1				1	1						
$\langle 12,10,5 \rangle^*$	2						2	1						1	2					
$\langle 11,10,5,1 \rangle$							1							1	1	1				
$\langle 11,9,5,2 \rangle$														1	1	1	1	1		
$\langle 11,8,5,3 \rangle$													1	1				1	1	
$\langle 11,7,5,4 \rangle$													1						1	
$\langle 10,9,5,2,1 \rangle^*$															1		1		1	
$\langle 10,8,5,3,1 \rangle^*$																1	1	1	1	1
$\langle 10,7,5,4,1 \rangle^*$																	1			1
$\langle 9,8,5,3,2 \rangle^*$																1				1
$\langle 9,7,5,4,2 \rangle^*$																			1	1
$\langle 8,7,5,4,3 \rangle^*$																				1
	d_1	d_2	d_3	d_4	d_5	d_6	d_7	d_8	d_9	d_{10}	d_{11}	d_{12}	d_{13}	d_{14}	d_{15}	d_{16}	d_{17}	d_{18}	d_{19}	d_{20}

Proof. We found the table above through using (r, \bar{r}) –inducing of p.i.s. $D_1, D_3, D_5, D_7, D_{146}, D_{11}, D_{15}, D_{17}, D_{19}, D_{21}, D_{13}, D_{150}, D_{25}, D_{27}, \dots, D_{39}$ of S_{26} to S_{27} get on d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{20} respectively. Since $d_{i+1} \notin d_i \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 20\}$, then we get the table (1).

First $(k_4 - k_5) \downarrow_{(2,10)} S_{26}$ is not p.s., so $k_5 \not\subset k_4$ [3]. Since $\langle 25,2 \rangle \neq \langle 25,2 \rangle'$ on $(11, \alpha)$ -regular classes[2] so $k_1 = d_{61} + d_{62}$ or there columns Y_1, Y_2 . If there are it so we have the approximation matrix as above, to describe it's such that $\langle 25,2 \rangle \downarrow S_{26}$ has two of i.m.s, and from table(3) $a_1 \in \{0,1\}$, If $a = 1$, but B_3 is associate[6], so k_1 must have a conjugate p.s. so $\langle 25,2 \rangle$ have three m.s. contradiction since $\langle 15,3,2 \rangle$ has at most two of m.s., so $a_1 = 0$ and $k_1 = d_{61} + d_{62}$. Also $\langle 24,3 \rangle \downarrow S_{26}$, $\langle 21,3,2,1 \rangle \downarrow S_{26}$, $\langle 18,4,3,2 \rangle \downarrow S_{26}$ have 3 of i.m.s and, from table(3) we get $a_2 \in \{0,1\}, a_4 \in \{0,1\}, a_5 \in \{0,1\}$, so k_2, k_3, k_4 splits to $d_{63}, d_{64}, d_{67}, d_{68}, d_{69}, d_{70}$.

Since $\langle 17,5,3,2 \rangle \neq \langle 17,5,3,2 \rangle'$ so k_5 it's split or there are Y_1, Y_2 . Since $\langle 17,5,3,2 \rangle \downarrow S_{26}$ has 4 of i.m.s and, from table(3) we find $a_6 \in \{0,1,2\}$. Same way we find $a_9, a_{26} = 0, a_{25} \in \{0,1,2\}, a_7, a_8, a_{12}, a_{14}, a_{19}, a_{21}, a_{23}, a_{24} \in \{0,1,2,3\}, a_{10}, a_{11}, a_{15}, a_{18}, a_{22} \in \{0,1, \dots, 4\}, a_{13}, a_{20} \in \{0,1, \dots, 5\}$. Let $a_6 \in \{1,2\}$ (if $a_6 = 0$ contradiction), $\langle 17,5,3,2 \rangle \downarrow S_{26} \cap \langle 14,11,2 \rangle \downarrow S_{25}$ has no i.m.s so we have $a_{10} = 0$ by counting the intersections we get on $a_{12}, a_{13}, \dots, a_{25}$ are equal to zero. Then $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$ only when $Y_1 + Y_2 = k_5$, so $k_5 = d_{71} + d_{72}$.

In k_6 we have $\langle 16,6,3,2 \rangle \neq \langle 16,6,3,2 \rangle'$ so it's split or there are two columns Y_1, Y_2 . Let $a_7 \in \{1,2,3\}$, when calculating intersections inducing of i.m.s we get on $a_{10}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{15}, a_{16}, \dots, a_{25}$ are equal to zero, then $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$ only when $a_7 = a_8 = a_{13} = a_{14}$, so $k_6 = d_{73} + d_{74}$.

For k_7 we have $\langle 15,7,3,2 \rangle \neq \langle 15,7,3,2 \rangle'$ suppose there are two columns Y_1, Y_2 are splits. Let $a_8 \in \{1,2,3\}$, since $\langle 15,7,3,2 \rangle \downarrow S_{26} \cap \langle 14,11,2 \rangle \downarrow S_{25}$ has no i.m.s so we have $a_{10} = 0$, and $\langle 15,7,3,2 \rangle \downarrow S_{26} \cap \langle 14,10,2,1 \rangle \downarrow S_{25}$ has one i.m.s so we have $a_{11} = 0$ [2],[3] by counting the intersections we get on $a_{15}, a_{16}, \dots, a_{25}$ are equal to zero, and since inducing m.s. is m.s. [8] we have:

$$(\langle 15,7,3,1 \rangle^* - \langle 14,8,3,1 \rangle^* + \langle 13,9,3,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(2,10)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_8 \geq a_{12} \quad (1)$$

Then $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$ only when $a_8 = a_{12} = a_{13}$ and, $a_{14} = 0$ so $k_8 = d_{75} + d_{76}$.

Since $\langle 14,13 \rangle \neq \langle 14,13 \rangle'$, $\langle 7,6,5,4,3,2 \rangle \neq \langle 7,6,5,4,3,2 \rangle'$ and $\langle 14,13 \rangle \downarrow S_{26}$ has 2 of i.m.s., $\langle 7,6,5,4,3,2 \rangle \downarrow S_{26}$ has 1 of i.m.s. and, from table (3) so we have $a_9 = a_{26} = 0$ ($a_9 = a_{26} = 1$ give a contradiction). Then $k_8 = d_{77} + d_{78}$, $k_{15} = d_{97} + d_{98}$

In k_9 we have $\langle 14,8,3,2 \rangle \neq \langle 14,8,3,2 \rangle'$. Let $a_{12} \in \{1,2,3\}$, then its' splits or there are another two columns are splits, if used the same above we get on:

$$Y_1 = a_{11} \langle 14,10,2,1 \rangle + a_{12} \langle 14,8,3,2 \rangle + a_{13} \langle 14,7,4,2 \rangle + a_{16} \langle 13,10,3,1 \rangle + a_{17} \langle 13,9,3,2 \rangle + a_{18} \langle 13,7,4,3 \rangle + a_{20} \langle 12,10,3,2 \rangle, Y_2 = a_{11} \langle 14,10,2,1 \rangle' + a_{12} \langle 14,8,3,2 \rangle' + a_{13} \langle 14,7,4,2 \rangle' + a_{16} \langle 13,10,3,1 \rangle' + a_{17} \langle 13,9,3,2 \rangle' + a_{18} \langle 13,7,4,3 \rangle' + a_{20} \langle 12,10,3,2 \rangle'$$

Since inducing m.s. is m.s.[8] so we have:

$$(\langle 14,6,4,2 \rangle^* - \langle 13,6,4,3 \rangle^* + \langle 11,6,4,3,2 \rangle) \uparrow^{(5,7)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{13} \geq a_{18}$$

(2)

$$(\langle 13,6,4,3 \rangle^* - \langle 14,6,4,2 \rangle^* + \langle 15,6,3,2 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(5,7)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{18} \geq a_{13}, \therefore a_{13} = a_{18}$$

(3)

$$(\langle 12,9,3,2 \rangle^* - \langle 12,10,3,1 \rangle^* + 2\langle 14,11,1 \rangle + \langle 11,9,3,2,1 \rangle) \uparrow^{(2,10)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{17} \geq a_{16}$$

(4)

$$(\langle 13,10,2,1 \rangle^* + \langle 13,7,4,2 \rangle^* - \langle 13,8,3,2 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(3,9)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{11} + a_{16} + 2a_{13} \geq a_{12} + a_{17}$$

(5)

$$(\langle 13,8,3,2 \rangle^* - \langle 13,10,2,1 \rangle^* - \langle 13,7,4,2 \rangle^* + \langle 13,6,5,2 \rangle^* + \langle 13,11,2 \rangle) \uparrow^{(3,9)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{12} + a_{17} \geq a_{11} + a_{16} + 2a_{13}, \therefore a_{12} + a_{17} = a_{11} + a_{16} + 2a_{13}$$

(6)

$$(\langle 13,10,3 \rangle + \langle 13,10,3 \rangle' - \langle 14,10,2 \rangle - \langle 14,10,2 \rangle' - \langle 11,10,3,2 \rangle^* + \langle 21,3,2 \rangle + \langle 21,3,2 \rangle' + \langle 10,7,4,3,2 \rangle + \langle 10,7,4,3,2 \rangle') \uparrow^{(0,1)} S_{27} \Rightarrow 2a_{16} \geq a_{20} + 2a_{11}$$

(7)

$$(\langle 11,10,3,2 \rangle^* + \langle 14,10,2 \rangle + \langle 14,10,2 \rangle' - \langle 13,10,3 \rangle - \langle 13,10,3 \rangle') \uparrow^{(0,1)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{20} + 2a_{11} \geq 2a_{16}, \therefore 2a_{16} = 2a_{11} + a_{20}$$

(8)

Then $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$ only when $Y_1 + Y_2 = n_1 k_9 + n_2 k_{10}$, $n_1 = 0, n_2 \in \{1,2,3\}$,

or $n_1 \in \{1,2,3\}, n_2 \in \{0,1, \dots, 3 - n_1\}$ so $k_9 = d_{81} + d_{82}$.

Since $\langle 14,6,5,2 \rangle \neq \langle 14,6,5,2 \rangle'$ and, let $a_{14} \in \{1,2,3\}$ using the same technic we get:

$Y_1 = a_{13} \langle 14,7,4,2 \rangle + a_{14} \langle 14,6,5,2 \rangle + a_{18} \langle 13,7,4,3 \rangle + a_{19} \langle 13,6,5,3 \rangle$, $Y_2 = a_{13} \langle 14,7,4,2 \rangle' + a_{14} \langle 14,6,5,2 \rangle' + a_{18} \langle 13,7,4,3 \rangle' + a_{19} \langle 13,6,5,3 \rangle'$ and since:

$$(\langle 12,6,5,3 \rangle^* - \langle 12,7,4,3 \rangle^* + \langle 12,9,3,2 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(2,10)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{19} \geq a_{18}$$

(9)

Then $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$ only when $Y_1 + Y_2 = k_{11}$, so $k_{11} = d_{85} + d_{86}$.

Since $\langle 14,7,4,2 \rangle \neq \langle 14,7,4,2 \rangle'$ so k_{10} split or there are two columns. Let $a_{13} \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$ by restricting and inducing we get: $Y_1 = a_{12} \langle 14,8,3,2 \rangle + a_{13} \langle 14,7,4,2 \rangle + a_{14} \langle 14,6,5,2 \rangle + a_{17} \langle 13,9,3,2 \rangle + a_{18} \langle 13,7,4,3 \rangle + a_{19} \langle 13,6,5,3 \rangle$, $Y_2 = a_{12} \langle 14,8,3,2 \rangle' + a_{13} \langle 14,7,4,2 \rangle' + a_{14} \langle 14,6,5,2 \rangle' + a_{17} \langle 13,9,3,2 \rangle' + a_{18} \langle 13,7,4,3 \rangle' + a_{19} \langle 13,6,5,3 \rangle'$, and :

$$(\langle 14,6,5,1 \rangle^* - \langle 12,6,5,3 \rangle^* + \langle 11,7,4,3,1 \rangle) \uparrow^{(2,10)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{14} \geq a_{19}$$

(10)

$$(\langle 12,6,5,3 \rangle^* - \langle 14,6,5,1 \rangle^* + \langle 15,7,3,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(2,10)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{19} \geq a_{14}, \therefore a_{14} = a_{19}$$

(11)

and, from (3.2),(3.3) We have $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$ only when $Y_1 + Y_2 = n_1 k_{11} + n_2 k_{10}$, such that $n_1 \in \{1,2,3\}, n_2 \in \{0, \dots, 3 - n_1\}$, or $n_1 = 0, n_2 \in \{1, \dots, 3\}$ so $k_{10} = d_{83} + d_{84}$.

Since $\langle 13,10,3,1 \rangle \neq \langle 13,10,3,1 \rangle'$ then k_{12} is divided or there are Y_1, Y_2 . Let $a_{16} \in \{1, \dots, 10\}$ by restricting and inducing we get: $Y_1 = a_{16} \langle 13,10,3,1 \rangle + a_{17} \langle 13,9,3,2 \rangle + a_{18} \langle 13,7,4,3 \rangle + a_{20} \langle 12,10,3,2 \rangle + a_{21} \langle 11,10,3,2,1 \rangle^* + a_{22} \langle 11,7,4,3,2 \rangle^* + a_{24} \langle 10,7,4,3,2,1 \rangle,$
 $Y_2 = a_{16} \langle 13,10,3,1 \rangle' + a_{17} \langle 13,9,3,2 \rangle' + a_{18} \langle 13,7,4,3 \rangle' + a_{20} \langle 12,10,3,2 \rangle' + a_{21} \langle 11,10,3,2,1 \rangle^* + a_{22} \langle 11,7,4,3,2 \rangle^* + a_{24} \langle 10,7,4,3,2,1 \rangle'$ and we have:

$$(\langle 11,7,4,3,1 \rangle - \langle 11,9,3,2,1 \rangle + \langle 12,11,3 \rangle) \uparrow^{(2,10)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{22} \geq a_{21} \quad (12)$$

$$(\langle 11,9,3,2,1 \rangle - \langle 11,7,4,3,1 \rangle + \langle 11,6,5,3,1 \rangle) \uparrow^{(2,10)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{21} \geq a_{22}, \therefore a_{21} = a_{22} \quad (13)$$

$$(\langle 13,7,4,2 \rangle^* + \langle 13,10,2,1 \rangle^* - \langle 13,8,3,2 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(3,9)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{18} + a_{16} \geq a_{17} \quad (14)$$

$$(\langle 13,8,3,2 \rangle^* - \langle 13,7,4,2 \rangle^* - \langle 13,10,2,1 \rangle^* + \langle 13,11,2 \rangle + \langle 13,6,5,2 \rangle) \uparrow^{(3,9)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{17} \geq a_{18} + a_{16}, \therefore a_{17} = a_{16} + a_{18} \quad (15)$$

$$(\langle 11,10,3,2 \rangle^* - \langle 10,7,4,3,2 \rangle + \langle 10,6,5,3,2 \rangle) \uparrow^{(0,1)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{20} \geq a_{24} \quad (16)$$

$$(\langle 13,6,4,3 \rangle^* - \langle 11,6,4,3,2 \rangle + \langle 10,6,4,3,2,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(5,7)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{18} \geq a_{21} \quad (17)$$

$$(\langle 11,6,4,3,2,1 \rangle^* - \langle 13,6,4,3 \rangle^* + \langle 14,6,4,2 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(5,7)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{21} \geq a_{18}, \therefore a_{18} = a_{21} \quad (18)$$

Then $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$ only when $Y_1 + Y_2 = n_1 k_{12} + n_2 k_{13}$, $n_1 = 1, n_2 \in \{0,1, \dots, 3\}$ or $n_1 \in \{2,3, \dots, 5\}, n_2 \in \{0,1, \dots, 5 - n_1\}$ so $k_{12} = d_{89} + d_{90}$.

For k_{13} $\langle 13,9,3,2 \rangle \neq \langle 13,9,3,2 \rangle'$, let $a_{17} \in \{1,2, \dots, 8\}$ by intersection restricting, and since:

$$(\langle 13,6,4,3 \rangle^* - \langle 11,6,4,3,2 \rangle + \langle 10,6,4,3,2,1 \rangle) \uparrow^{(5,7)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{18} \geq a_{22} \quad (19)$$

$$(\langle 11,6,4,3,2 \rangle - \langle 13,6,4,3 \rangle^* + \langle 14,6,4,2 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(5,7)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{22} \geq a_{18}, \therefore a_{18} = a_{22} \quad (20)$$

$$(\langle 13,8,3,2 \rangle^* - \langle 13,7,4,2 \rangle^* + \langle 13,6,5,2 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(3,9)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{17} \geq a_{18} \quad (21)$$

$$(\langle 13,7,4,2 \rangle^* - \langle 13,8,3,2 \rangle^* + \langle 13,10,2,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(3,9)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{18} \geq a_{17}, \therefore a_{17} = a_{18} \quad (22)$$

And, from (12), (13) we get on $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$ only when $Y_1 + Y_2 = k_{13}$ so $k_{13} = d_{91} + d_{92}$.

$$(\langle 14,12 \rangle - \langle 14,11,1 \rangle + \langle 25,1 \rangle^* + \langle 14,9,2,1 \rangle) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_7 \geq a_8$$

(34)

$$(\langle 14,11,1 \rangle - \langle 14,12 \rangle) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_8 \geq a_7, \therefore a_7 = a_8$$

(35)

Then $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$ only when $Y_1 + Y_2 = k_3$, so $k_3 = d_{35} + d_{36}$

For k_4 , $\langle 15,6,5,1 \rangle \neq \langle 15,6,5,1 \rangle'$ on $(11, \alpha)$ -regular classes so $k_4 = d_{43} + d_{44}$. Use the same above and, since:

$$(\langle 11,6,5,3,1 \rangle + \langle 11,9,3,2,1 \rangle - \langle 11,7,4,3,1 \rangle) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{22} \geq a_{21}$$

(36)

$$(\langle 11,7,4,3,1 \rangle - \langle 11,6,5,3,1 \rangle) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{21} \geq a_{22}, \therefore a_{21} = a_{22}$$

(37)

$$(\langle 15,6,5 \rangle - \langle 15,7,4 \rangle + \langle 15,8,3 \rangle) \uparrow^{(0,1)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{12} \geq a_{11}$$

(38)

$$(\langle 15,7,4 \rangle - \langle 15,6,5 \rangle) \uparrow^{(0,1)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{11} \geq a_{12}, \therefore a_{11} = a_{12}$$

(39)

$$(\langle 11,6,5,4 \rangle^* - \langle 11,8,4,3 \rangle^* + \langle 11,9,4,2 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(0,1)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{19} \geq a_{18}$$

(40)

$$(\langle 11,8,4,3 \rangle^* - \langle 11,6,5,4 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(0,1)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{18} \geq a_{19}, \therefore a_{18} = a_{19}$$

(41)

So $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$ only when $Y_1 + Y_2 = n_1 k_4 + n_2 k_9$, $n_1 \in \{0,1,2,3\}, n_2 \in \{0,1, \dots, 4 - n_1\}$ so it's splits.

In k_6 we have $\langle 13,9,4,1 \rangle \neq \langle 13,9,4,1 \rangle'$ so $k_6 = d_{47} + d_{48}$ or there are Y_1, Y_2 . Use the same above and, since:

$$(\langle 11,10,4,1 \rangle^* - \langle 12,10,4 \rangle + \langle 15,9,2 \rangle) \uparrow^{(0,1)} S_{27} \Rightarrow 0 > a_{15}, \therefore a_{15} = 0$$

(42)

$$(\langle 11,7,4,3,1 \rangle - \langle 11,9,3,2,1 \rangle + \langle 12,11,3 \rangle) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{21} \geq a_{20}$$

(43)

$$(\langle 11,9,3,2,1 \rangle - \langle 11,7,4,3,1 \rangle + \langle 11,6,5,3,1 \rangle) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{20} \geq a_{21}, \therefore a_{20} = a_{21}$$

(44)

$$(\langle 13,9,3,1 \rangle^* - \langle 12,10,3,1 \rangle^* + \langle 12,11,3 \rangle + \langle 12,11,3 \rangle' + 2\langle 9,7,4,3,2,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{14} \geq a_{16}$$

(45)

$$(\langle 12,10,3,1 \rangle^* - \langle 13,9,3,1 \rangle^* + \langle 14,8,3,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{16} \geq a_{14}, \therefore a_{14} = a_{16}$$

(46)

$$(\langle 11,7,4,3,1 \rangle - \langle 12,7,4,3 \rangle + \langle 14,7,4,1 \rangle) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{20} \geq a_{18},$$

(47)

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle 12,7,4,3 \rangle + \langle 9,7,4,3,2,1 \rangle^* + \langle 7,6,5,4,3,1 \rangle^* - \langle 11,7,4,3,1 \rangle) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow \\ & a_{18} \geq a_{20}, \therefore a_{18} = a_{19} \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Then $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$ only when $Y_1 + Y_2 = n_1 k_6 + n_2 k_8$, $n_1 \in \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}, n_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, 6\}$, so it's splits.

For k_7 we have $\langle 12,10,4,1 \rangle \neq \langle 12,10,4,1 \rangle'$ so k_7 splits or there are Y_1, Y_2 . By inducing:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle 9,7,4,3,2,1 \rangle^* + \langle 12,7,4,3 \rangle^* + \langle 11,6,5,3,1 \rangle + \langle 9,6,5,3,2,1 \rangle - \\ & \langle 11,7,4,3,1 \rangle) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{23} \geq a_{21} \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle 11,7,4,3,1 \rangle - \langle 9,7,4,3,2,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{21} \geq a_{23}, \therefore a_{21} = a_{23} \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle 11,10,4,1 \rangle^* - \langle 12,10,4 \rangle + \langle 13,9,4 \rangle + \langle 26 \rangle + \langle 26 \rangle') \uparrow^{(0,1)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{15} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle 12,9,3,2 \rangle^* - \langle 12,10,3,1 \rangle^* + \langle 12,11,3 \rangle + \langle 12,11,3 \rangle') \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{17} \geq a_{16} \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle 12,10,3,1 \rangle^* - \langle 12,9,3,2 \rangle + \langle 12,7,4,3 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{16} \geq a_{17}, \therefore a_{16} = a_{17} \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle 12,8,4,2 \rangle - \langle 11,8,4,2,1 \rangle + \langle 8,6,5,4,2,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(3,9)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{16} \geq a_{20} + a_{21} \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle 11,8,4,2,1 \rangle - \langle 12,8,4,2 \rangle + \langle 13,8,4,1 \rangle) \uparrow^{(3,9)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{20} + a_{21} \geq a_{16}, \therefore \\ & a_{16} = a_{20} + a_{21} \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle 11,9,4,2 \rangle^* - \langle 12,10,4 \rangle^* - \langle 10,8,4,3,1 \rangle - \langle 10,8,4,3,1 \rangle' + \langle 10,6,5,4,1 \rangle + \\ & \langle 10,6,5,4,1 \rangle' + \langle 8,6,5,4,3 \rangle + \langle 8,6,5,4,3 \rangle' + \langle 15,10,1 \rangle + \\ & \langle 15,10,1 \rangle') \uparrow^{(0,1)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{17} + a_{20} \geq a_{16} + 2a_{21} \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle 12,10,4 \rangle^* + \langle 10,8,4,3,1 \rangle + \langle 10,8,4,3,1 \rangle' - \langle 11,9,4,2 \rangle^* + \langle 13,9,4 \rangle + \\ & \langle 13,9,4 \rangle') \uparrow^{(0,1)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{16} + 2a_{21} \geq a_{17} + a_{20}, \therefore a_{17} + a_{20} = a_{16} + 2a_{21} \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

We, get Y_1, Y_2 which is not p.s. since $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$, so $k_7 = d_{49} + d_{50}$.

For k_8 we have $\langle 12,9,4,2 \rangle \neq \langle 12,9,4,2 \rangle'$, so k_8 splits or there are Y_1, Y_2 . By inducing:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle 12,7,4,3 \rangle^* - \langle 12,9,3,2 \rangle^* + \langle 12,10,3,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{18} \geq a_{17} \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle 12,9,3,2 \rangle^* - \langle 12,7,4,3 \rangle^* + \langle 12,6,5,3 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{17} \geq a_{18}, \therefore a_{17} = a_{18} \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle 11,9,3,2,1 \rangle - \langle 12,7,4,3 \rangle^* + \langle 12,6,5,3 \rangle^* + \langle 13,9,3,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow a_{20} \geq \\ & a_{17} \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\langle 12,7,4,3 \rangle^* - \langle 11,9,3,2,1 \rangle + \langle 9,6,5,3,2,1 \rangle^* + \langle 12,10,3,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{27} \Rightarrow \\
 & a_{17} \geq a_{20}, \therefore a_{17} = a_{20} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad (61)
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$ only when $Y_1 + Y_2 = k_8$, so $k_8 = d_{53} + d_{54}$.

In k_9 , $\langle 12,8,4,3 \rangle \neq \langle 12,8,4,3 \rangle'$ if there are two columns by restricting, inducing we get on:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\langle 12,6,5,3 \rangle^* + \langle 12,9,3,2 \rangle^* - \langle 12,7,4,3 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{19} \geq a_{18} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad (62)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\langle 12,7,4,3 \rangle^* - \langle 12,6,5,3 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(4,8)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{18} \geq a_{19}, \therefore a_{18} = a_{19} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad (63)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\langle 11,8,4,2,1 \rangle - \langle 12,8,4,2 \rangle^* + \langle 13,8,4,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(3,9)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{20} + a_{21} \geq a_{18} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad (64)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\langle 12,8,4,2 \rangle^* - \langle 11,8,4,2,1 \rangle + \langle 8,6,5,4,2,1 \rangle^*) \uparrow^{(3,9)} S_{26} \Rightarrow a_{18} \geq a_{20} + a_{21}, \therefore \\
 & a_{18} = a_{20} + a_{21} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad (65)
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $\deg Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11^2}$ only when $Y_1 + Y_2 = k_8$, so $k_9 = d_{55} + d_{56}$. Finally we have 241 columns, then $k_5 = d_{45} + d_{46}$. Therefore, the decomposition matrix for the block B_2 is table (5).

Conflict of Interests.

There are non-conflicts of interest

III. References

[1] I. Schur. 1911. Uber die Darstellung der symmetrischen und der alternierenden gruppe durch gebrochene lineare substitutionen. j.Reine ang.Math. 139: 155-250.

[2] A. O. Morris.1962 The spin representation of the symmetric group. proc. London Math. Soc.(3)12: 55-76

[3] G. D. James, A. Kerber.1981. The representation theory of the symmetric group. Mass. Addison-Wesley

[4] A. O. Morris, A.K.Yaseen.1988. Decomposition matrices for spin characters of symmetric group. Proc. of Royal society of Edinburgh. 108A : 145-164.

[5] A. H. Jassim, M. M. Jawad.2019. Decomposition matrices for the spin characters of S_{26} Modulo11. Basrah Journal of Science Vol. (37) No (2)

[6] B. M. Puttaswamaiah, J. D. Dixon.1977.Modular representation of finite groups. Academic Press, J. london Math. Soc. 15:445-455.

[7] A. H. Jassim 2017. 7-Modular Character of The Covering group \bar{S}_{23} . Journal of Basrah Researches ((Sciences)). V 43. N. 1 A: 108-129.

[8] J. F. Humphreys.1977. Projective modular representations of finite groups. J. London Math. Society. 2.16:51-66.

- [9] S. A. Taban 1998. 7-Decomposition matrix for the spin characters of the symmetric group S_{16} , Basrah J. Science, A, Vol. 16, 2: 79-86 .

المشخطات المعيارية قياس $p = 11$ لزمرة التمثيل \bar{S}_{27} ,

أحمد حسين جاسم

قسم الرياضيات, كلية العلوم, جامعة البصرة, البصرة, العراق

ahmedhussein1981@ymail.com

الخلاصة

في هذا البحث وجدنا مصفوفة التجزئة للزمرة \bar{S}_{27} قياس 11 باستخدام طريقة \bar{r} -inducing(r, \bar{r})