

Characterization of Novel Coronavirus and Pandemic of Covid-19 : an Overview

Lubna Abdul muttalib¹

Ashwaq M. S. Al-Jbouri²

Mohammed Malih Radhi³

Nada Khazal Kadhim⁴

Rusull Hamza Kh.⁵

1. environmental research center, Babylon Province, Iraq, lubnamsa77@gmail.com
2. Department of Biomedical, College of Engineering/ Babylon University, Iraq. m.ash_aljbouri@yahoo.com
3. Community Health Nursing, Kut Technical Institute, Middle Technical University, Iraq. mohammed.amri92@gmail.com
4. Department of Basic and Medical Science, College of Nursing, Babylon University, Babylon Province, Iraq. nadakhazal@yahoo.com
5. Community Health Nursing, Babylon Health Directorate- Iraq. rsllhmzh41@gmail.com

Article Information

Submission date: 1 /11/ 2020

Acceptance date: 19/ 11/ 2020

Publication date: 31/ 12/ 2020

Abstract

In the last period of 2019, the new corona virus, currently called SARS-CoV-2, recognized by way of the reason for the spread disease of acute respiratory in China in Wuhan .During February 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) identified COVID-19, as the 2019 coronavirus disease.

Over the past 50 years, there have been different numbers of coronary viruses that have caused a wide range of human diseases and veterinary medicine. These viruses are expected to continue to appear, develop, and cause the spread of humans and viruses because of their ability to regroup, transform them and communicate a disease to numerous species in addition cells .Investigation of future for Coronavirus will ongoing for explore many aspects of virus duplication in addition pathogenesis. First of all, understanding tendencies for the viruses involved to hurdle among species, confirm contagion in another novel host, and identify large reservoirs of corona viruses greatly help for predicting where and when possible epidemics will happen. Since bats appear an important viruses reservoir, it will be stimulating to limit how can evade developing clinically evident of disease and persistent infection. Second, determining how virus's non-structural and accessory proteins coded via remain of viruses unmarked without a identified function and also be significant to determine the act mechanisms of these proteins in addition to determine the starring role in duplication of viral then disease pathogenesis. These studies need to chief toward a major a plus the amount of appropriate treatment aims for control of infection. Additionally, several unique enzymes encoded via corona viruses, for example ADP-ribose-1 1-phosphatase, moreover exist in upper eukaryotes, creation their study applicable to learning common aspects of biochemistry and molecular biology. Third, likewise, obtaining a whole picture of RTC particulars will make available a background for learning replication of the unique RNA practice these viruses employ. Lastly, identifying just how coronaviruses reason disease then understanding the host's immune reaction for pathogen will greatly progress our capability to manufacture vaccines then decrease the disease burden.

Keywords: Coronavirus, SARS CoV, M protein.

Introduction

Coronaviruses consider a vital pathogen for human as well as animal. In late 2019, the new Corona virus was known by way of the reason of a group of pneumonia infections in Wuhan, a urban in the Chinese Hubei province, where it spread quickly, which led to outbreak of an epidemic in China, and then the cases number increased in new states all over the World scale. The disease has been classified as Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2); previously, it was mentioned to as 2019-nCoV [1].

With the advent of December 2019, this new virus has recognized as a reason of upper and lower respiratory tract infection in Wuhan. During February 2020, the World Health Organization ordered the disease COVID-19, which stands for Coronavirus Disease 2019 [1].

Structure of Genomic Virion

Coronaviruses have a not fragmented, positive-sense RNA genome of ~30 kb. The genome has a 5' cap structure along with a 3' poly (A) end, permitting it to doing as an mRNA for translation of the replicase polyproteins [2, 3].

Coronavirus are spherical in addition to the greatest special feature of corona viruses the bat-shaped forecasts released from the virus. These spikes were significant trait of virus then be responsible for the presence of a astral corona, prompting term, coronaviruses. A nucleocapsid is in the envelope of virion. A symmetrical nucleocapsids of Coronaviruses is helically [3,4].

A particles of Coronavirus have four chief essential proteins. Containing the spike (S), envelope (E), membrane (M), in addition to proteins of nucleocapsid (N). The S protein uses an N-terminal sign sequence to improvement admission to the ER, then is heavily N-linked glycosylated. Homotrimers of virus coded S protein formation characteristic spike structure on the virus surface [5, 6]. Trimeric S glycoprotein is a class I protein of fusion [7] in addition to mediates attachment to the receptor of the host [8]. In greatest, coronaviruses, S sliced via a host cell furin-like protease to 2 distinct polypeptides renowned S1 and S2 [9, 10]. S1 creates the great receptor-binding field of the S protein, whereas S2 formulas stem of molecule of spike[11].

The greatest copious fundamental protein in the virion is M protein which a small protein [12] then supposed to stretch the virion form. It has a minor N-terminal glycosylated ectodomain [13]. Notwithstanding existence co-translationally injected in membrane of ER, greatest M proteins not its property sign arrangement. Currently studies have shown that M protein is present bilaterally in the virion, and may take two different forms, as this allows it to enhance the curvature of the membrane as well as binding to the nuclear capsid [14].

Protein E is create in minor quantities inside virion. These proteins are extremely variable nevertheless have a communal construction [15]. Membrane structure of protein E was not wholly determined while the information's indicated that it a trans-membrane protein. In contrast with further fundamental proteins, recombinant viruses absent the E protein are not all the time deadly, though this depends on the kind of virus [16]. Protein E facilitates the assemblage and virus release, and it has other jobs. The example, action of ion passage in SARS-CoV E protein not essential to duplication nevertheless is essential for pathogenesis [17]. N. protein is present within a nucleocapsid only [18,19]. N protein as well extremely

phosphorylated [20], in addition phosphorylation has been proposed to induce an essential alteration that increases attraction for viral as opposed to non-viral RNA. For N protein have been identified two specific RNA substrates; the TRSs [21] then the genomic packing signal [20]. Packing signal of the genomic was institute to be exactly associated with a following RNA binding domain or C-terminal [21]. N protein similarly linked to nsp3 [19, 22], being major constituent of complex of the replication, also the M protein [23]. It is possible that these protein interactions would support bind genome to the replication-replication compound (RTC), then packed the genome encapsulated in units of viral. A fifth fundamental protein is Hemagglutinin-esterase (HE), in subgroup of-Corones. The protein plays the role of hemagglutinin [24]. These events are expected to boost protein S-mediated pass then virus extent across mucosa [25]. Interestingly, it boosted the neurovirulence of hepatitis C virus (MHV) [26]; nevertheless, for unknown reasons, it is chosen for tissue culture [27].

Life Cycle of Coronavirus

Attachment and Entry

Initially, primary connection of the virus with the cell of host occurs through interfaces between protein S also the receptor. The places of receptor binding domains (RBD) differ inside the S1 district the coronavirus protein S based on virus, through part having RBD at the N end of S1 (MHV). Receptor of S-protein contact is the virus primary determining factor for infection of the host species, as it controls the virus orbital tissues. Many coronaviruses usage peptides as their cell receptors. It is undistinguishable why peptides are utilized, meanwhile entry take place in nonappearance of enzymatic field. Several coronaviruses usage α -aminopeptidase N (APN) in place of their receptor, SARS-CoV also HCoV-NL63 usage angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) in place of their receptor [28, 29].

The virus should be capable to access the host cytosol, after connecting the receivers. This is generally accomplished through cleavage based on the protease acid S protein with cathepsin, or alternative protease, after that the integration of viral as well as cellular membranes. Cleavage of protein S takes place in 2 locations inside S2 fraction, with the primarily split vital to union of S protein then isolated RBD domains [30]. The creation of this bundle permits mixing of cell membranes and viral, which occurs to the union then ultimate discharge genome of the viral in the cytoplasm [5].

Expression of Replicase Protein

In life cycle of coronavirus, the next step for translate the homologous gene from RNA of viral genomic; where the homologous gene codes 2 great ORFs, rep1a also rep1b, which express 2 multiplex proteins, pp1a then pp1ab. For express together multiple proteins, coronavirus usages a slippery sequence (5'-UUUAAAC-3') then a pseudo-RNA node reasons the ribosome frame to change from rep1a to rep1b ORF reading frame.

The ribosome relaxes the pseudo-ganglion structure, in most cases, then continues translating while waiting for it encounters the stop code rep1a. From time to time, the pseudo-node prevents continuous elongation from the ribosome, causing it to be paused in slippery sequence, altering the reading frame through touching single nucleotide backward, and shifting one frame, beforehand the ribosome can melt the pseudo-node structure and prolong the

translation to rep1b, Causing the translation of Pages 1ab [31, 32]. The occurrence of ribosome frame shift is up to 25%. This is what in vitro studies expected, nevertheless this not resolute in context of virus contagion. The reason indefinite when this virus are used for alter frameworks to controller expression of protein, nevertheless it expected that moreover controlling ratio of exact of rep1b also repla proteins or delaying creation of rep1b produces until the repla products build a appropriate environment to duplication of RNA [33]. Polyproteins contain pp1a as well as pp1ab on nsps 1--11 as well as 1--16, in respectively. These multiple proteins then sliced to distinct nsps [34]. The viruses code moreover 2 or 3 proteases that slice into multiple identical proteins [35]. Following, many nsps assemble in the Replication-Transcriptional Complex (RTC) to make appropriate conditions for synthesis of RNA, as well as eventually in control for RNA duplication also the genomic transcription of sub-RNAs [36].

Duplication and Transcription

Creation of viral RNA yields together genomic in addition to sub-RNA. RNA of genomic performances by way of the mRNA for structural as well as additional genes that lie downstream of numerous mimic proteins. All sub-genomic RNAs with positive meaning are 3 terminals joint with a full-length genome of viral, therefore making the overlapping set RNAs. Together genomic besides semi-genomic RNA are formed through a negative-stranded medium. This negative-stranded argument is lone 1% plentiful as its positive-sense complements and contains both polyuridyated and anti-leader sequences [37]. For viral RNA replication there are several influencing and important CIS sequences. Inside 5' UTR of genome are 7 structures with stem loops that possibly will stretch to the homozygous gene 1a [38-39]. UTR 3 has a distended stem ring, a false ganglion, then a extremely variable area [40-41]. The stem ring in addition to pseudo-node at pin 3 have an overlap, which is stimulating, consequently do not form composed simultaneously. Hence, the dissimilar structures have been suggested to control alternative steps of RNA creation, while the exact stages, which are controlled, also the exact mechanism of their act are stay unidentified [42, 43].

Assembly and Release

The structural proteins of Virus E, S, also M translated then put in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). This occurs subsequently duplication and creation of RNA of sub-genomic. The proteins transfer lengthwise secretory way in the endoplasmic reticulum - middle Golgi section (ERGIC) [44, 45]. There, viral genomes were encapsulated through the protein N bud in ERGIC membranes have structural proteins of viral, creating mature virus [46]. Most of the protein-protein interfaces needed to synthesize coronaviruses are guided by the M protein. However, the M protein do not enough for virion creation, for example virus-like molecules (VLPs) not able made via expression of M protein only. VLPs are made at what time expression of M protein alongside E protein, consequently representative that these 2 proteins work together to yield corona virus envelopes [47]. By the protein N the formation of VLP is enhanced, representative that the combination of the enveloping genome in the ERGIC enhances the viral envelope [48]. Protein S was combined inside virus in this stage, while it not needed to assemblage. A capability of S protein for permit into the ERGIC then interrelate through M protein is critical to combination inside virions. Whereas the M protein is

comparatively available, E protein is single existing in lesser amounts inside the virus. Hence, it is probable that M protein interfaces deliver motivation to maturing of envelope. It is unidentified how E protein supports M protein gathering, then numerous potentials have proposed. Numerous work designated a starring role of the E protein for prompting membrane curving [49–50], while others proposed that E protein stops M protein for accumulation [51].

Pathogenesis

Coronaviruses were lone assumed to reason for mild and self-limiting respiratory infections in individuals that was before outbreak of the SARS virus. Two of these coronaviruses of human are α -Corones, HCoV-229E, and HCoV-NL63, although the other 2 are β -Coronavirus, HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-HKU1. HCoV-229E and HCoV-OC43 were isolated nearly 50 years ago [52–53], whereas HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-HKU1 were lately recognized only after the SARS-CoV outbreak [54, 55]. The viruses were endemic in human populations, make happen 15–30 % of respiratory tract infections for each year. The cause more severe disease in the ageing, neonates, in addition to persons with sicknesses, with a larger incidence of lower respiratory tract infection in these populations. HCoV-NL63 is likewise related with acute laryngotracheitis (croup) [56]. One stimulating aspect is that these viruses have a tolerance to genetic variation. HCoV-229E separates as of all over the world have minimal sequence variation [57], while HCoV-OC43 separates from the similar site nonetheless insulated in altered years demonstration large genetic diversity. This probable describes the incapability of HCoV-229E to irritated the block of species to infect mice, but HCoV-OC43 then the strictly associated bovine coronavirus, BCoV, are skilled to infect mice as well as many ruminant animal species. [58].

The SARS virus mainly pass on a disease to the epithelial cells in the lung. The virus as well capable to enter dendritic cells as well as macrophages, nevertheless it only reason to unsuccessful infection [59, 60]. Numerous chemokines and cytokines as well created by these cells and are raised in SARS-infected patients serum [61]. The titers of viral give the idea to reduce as severe disease progresses in both many animal models and humans of the disease [62, 63]. These animals likewise demonstration increased levels of inflammatory stimulating cytokines as well as reduced T-cell responses, which in turn propose a probable immune mechanism for the disease [64, 65, 66, 67].

Diagnosis, Treatment, and Prevention

Coronavirus identification is not necessary in greatest cases of self-limited infection, as the disease will income normal course. Though, in some clinical and veterinary sets or in studies of epidemiological possibly will significant for determine the causative agent. Diagnosis is as well significant in places where a severe outbreak of the Coronavirus is happening, for instance, by the side of current, in the Middle East, where continues spread of Coronavirus. Cases documentation will assistance guide the advance of public health measures for controller the outbreak. It is similarly significant to identify cases of disease of acute veterinary caused by CoV, such as PEDV and IBV, for control pathogens in addition to keep resource of food. RT-PCR has come to be favored technique for diagnosing human CoV, as real-time multiplexed RT-PCR assays established that capable of detecting all 4 respiratory HCoVs and can be further Coexist to CoVs [68, 69, 70, 71, 72]. As in cases in which RNA is hard to separate or is no

lengthier present, serological tests are significant, as well as significant in epidemiological studies. [73, 74].

So far, treatments are only supportive as no antiviral therapies that exactly aim coronaviruses of human. In vitro, interferons (IFNs) are only partially effective against corona viruses [75]. IFNs in combination with ribavirin may have greater than before action in vitro associated with IFNs alone against some coronaviruses; nevertheless, success of this group in vivo wants additional evaluation [76]. The SARS in addition to MERS outbreaks stimulated the search for Coronavirus and this investigation has recognized a great number of appropriate targets of antiviral, such as viral proteases, polymerases, and entry proteins. So far, there is still major work to progress drugs that aim these progressions in addition to capable to stop the virus for replicating (77, 78). Vaccines have single permitted for IBV, TGEV, and Canine CoV, nonetheless these vaccines not continually usage for the reason that they are either not very active, or in some cases have been distinct to be needed in novel pathogenic CoVs choice via circulating strains recombination [79]. Vaccines for veterinary pathogens, such as PEDV, may be beneficial in such cases where the extent of the virus to a new site can cause heavy dead in veterinary animals. Whereas, in the case of the SARS virus, many potential vaccines have been developed but none has yet been permitted for use. Therapeutic antibodies to SARS-CoV have been produced in addition can be recovered and used again in the event of another outbreak of SARS-CoV. These antibodies will be very beneficial to protect healthcare employees [79]. Despite this success, the development of a vaccine for these viruses faces many challenges [80]. First of all, infection of mucosal, normal infection does not stop following infection, therefore it should as a minimum decrease disease that happens during secondary infection or vaccines should prompt better immunity than the original virus. Second, the tendency for viruses to recombine possibly will lead to a problem through interpretation the vaccine useless, which may reason a diversity and increase in virus development in the wild [81]. Finally, it has been shown in FIPV that vaccination with protein S causes improvement of disease [82]. Despite all this, many strategies are being advanced to develop the vaccine to decrease the potential for recombination, for example by creation great deletions in ns1 [83] or E proteins [84] or rearrangement of the third end of the genome [85].

Conflict of Interests.

There are non-conflicts of interest.

References

1. World Health Organization. (2020). Director-General's remarks at the media briefing on 2019-nCoV on 11 February. <https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-2019-ncov-on-11-february-2020>.
2. Zhao L, Jha BK, Wu A, et al. (2012). Antagonism of the interferon-induced OAS-RNase L pathway by murine coronavirus ns2 protein is required for virus replication and liver pathology. *Cell Host Microbe*;11:607–616.
3. Barcena M, Oostergetel GT, Bartelink W, et al. (2009). Cryo-electron tomography of mouse hepatitis virus: insights into the structure of the coronavirus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 106:582–58.
4. Neuman BW, Adair BD, Yoshioka C, et al. (2006). Supramolecular architecture of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus revealed by electron cryomicroscopy. *J Virol*. 2006;80:7918–7928



5. Beniac DR, Andonov A, Grudeski E, et al. Architecture of the SARS coronavirus prefusion spike. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* ;13:751–752.
6. Delmas B, and Laude H. (1990). Assembly of coronavirus spike protein into trimers and its role in epitope expression. *J Virol.* ;64:5367–5375.
7. Bosch BJ, van der Zee R, de Haan CA, et al. (2003). The coronavirus spike protein is a class I virus fusion protein: structural and functional characterization of the fusion core complex. *J Virol.* ;77:8801–8811.
8. Collins AR, Knobler RL, Powell H, et al. (1982). Monoclonal antibodies to murine hepatitis virus s-4 (strain JHM) define the viral glycoprotein responsible for attachment and cell–cell fusion. *Virology.* ;119:358–371.
9. Abraham S, Kienzle TE, Lapps W, et al. (1990). Deduced sequence of the bovine coronavirus spike protein and identification of the internal proteolytic cleavage site. *Virology.* ;176:296–301.
10. Luytjes W, Sturman LS, Bredenbeek PJ, et al. (1987). Primary structure of the glycoprotein E2 of coronavirus MHV-A59 and identification of the trypsin cleavage site. *Virology.* ;161:479–487.
11. de Groot RJ, Luytjes W, Horzinek MC, et al. (1987). Evidence for a coiled-coil structure in the spike proteins of coronaviruses. *J Mol Biol.* ;196:963–966.
12. Armstrong J, Niemann H, Smeekens S, et al. (1984). Sequence and topology of a model intracellular membrane protein, E1 glycoprotein, from a coronavirus. *Nature.* ;308:751–752.
13. Nal B, Chan C, Kien F, et al. (2005). Differential maturation and subcellular localization of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus surface proteins S, M and E. *J Gen Virol.* ;86:1423–1434.
14. Neuman BW, Kiss G, Kunding AH, et al. (2011). A structural analysis of M protein in coronavirus assembly and morphology. *J Struct Biol.* ;174:11–22.
15. Godet M, L'Haridon R, Vautherot JF, et al. (1992). TGEV corona virus ORF4 encodes a membrane protein that is incorporated into virions. *Virology.* ;188:666–675.
16. DeDiego ML, Alvarez E, Almazan F, et al. (2007). A severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus that lacks the E gene is attenuated in vitro and in vivo. *J Virol.* ;81:1701–1713.
17. Nieto-Torres JL, Dediego ML, Verdia-Baguena C, et al. (2014). Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus envelope protein ion channel activity promotes virus fitness and pathogenesis. *PLoS Pathog.* ;10:e1004077.
18. Chang CK, Sue SC, Yu TH, et al. (2006). Modular organization of SARS coronavirus nucleocapsid protein. *J Biomed Sci.* ;13:59–72.
19. Hurst KR, Koetzner CA, Masters PS. (2009). Identification of in vivo-interacting domains of the murine coronavirus nucleocapsid protein. *J Virol.* ;83:7221–7234.
20. Stohlman SA, Lai MM. Phosphoproteins of murine hepatitis viruses. *J Virol.* 1979;32:672–675.
21. Stohlman SA, Baric RS, Nelson GN, et al. (1988). Specific interaction between coronavirus leader RNA and nucleocapsid protein. *J Virol.* ;62:4288–4295.
22. Molenkamp R, and Spaan WJ. (1997). Identification of a specific interaction between the coronavirus mouse hepatitis virus A59 nucleocapsid protein and packaging signal. *Virology.* ;239:78–86.
23. Kuo L, and Masters PS. (2013). Functional analysis of the murine coronavirus genomic RNA packaging signal. *J Virol.* ;87:5182–5192.
24. Hurst KR, and Koetzner CA, (2013). Masters PS. Characterization of a critical interaction between the coronavirus nucleocapsid protein and nonstructural protein 3 of the viral replicase-transcriptase complex. *J Virol.* ;87:9159–9172.
25. Sturman LS, Holmes KV, Behnke J. (1980). Isolation of coronavirus envelope glycoproteins and interaction with the viral nucleocapsid. *J Virol.* ;33:449–462.
26. Klauegger A, Strobl B, Regl G, et al. (1999). Identification of a coronavirus hemagglutinin-esterase with a substrate specificity different from those of influenza C virus and bovine coronavirus. *J Virol.* ;73:3737–3743.

27. Cornelissen LA, Wierda CM, van der Meer FJ, et al. (1997). Hemagglutinin-esterase, a novel structural protein of torovirus. *J Virol.* ;71:5277–5286.
28. Kazi L, Lissenberg A, Watson R, et al. (2005). Expression of hemagglutinin esterase protein from recombinant mouse hepatitis virus enhances neurovirulence. *J Virol.* ;79:15064–15073.
29. Lissenberg A, Vrolijk MM, van Vliet AL, et al. (2005). Luxury at a cost? Recombinant mouse hepatitis viruses expressing the accessory hemagglutinin esterase protein display reduced fitness in vitro. *J Virol.*;79:15054–15063.
30. Kubo H, Yamada YK, Taguchi F. (1994). Localization of neutralizing epitopes and the receptor-binding site within the amino-terminal 330 amino acids of the murine coronavirus spike protein. *J Virol.* ;68:5403–5410.
31. Cheng PK, Wong DA, Tong LK, et al. (2004). Viral shedding patterns of coronavirus in patients with probable severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Lancet.* ;363:1699–1700.
32. Belouzard S, Chu VC, Whittaker GR. (2009). Activation of the SARS coronavirus spike protein via sequential proteolytic cleavage at two distinct sites. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* ;106:5871–587.
33. Baranov PV, Henderson CM, Anderson CB, et al. (2005). Programmed ribosomal frameshifting in decoding the SARS-CoV genome. *Virology.* ;332:498–510.
34. Brierley I, Digard P, Inglis SC. (1989). Characterization of an efficient coronavirus ribosomal frameshifting signal: requirement for an RNA pseudoknot. *Cell.* ;57:537–547.
35. Araki K, Gangappa S, Dillehay DL, et al. (2010). Pathogenic virus-specific T cells cause disease during treatment with the calcineurin inhibitor FK506: implications for transplantation. *J Exp Med.* ;207:2355–2367.
36. Ziebuhr J, Snijder EJ, Gorbalenya AE. (2000). Virus-encoded proteinases and proteolytic processing in the Nidovirales. *J Gen Virol.* ;81:853–879.
37. Mielech AM, Chen Y, Mesecar AD, et al. (2014). Nidovirus papain-like proteases: multifunctional enzymes with protease, deubiquitinating and deISGylating activities. *Virus Res.*
38. Snijder EJ, Bredenbeek PJ, Dobbe JC, et al. (2003). Unique and conserved features of genome and proteome of SARS-coronavirus, an early split-off from the coronavirus group 2 lineage. *J Mol Biol.* ;331:991–1004.
39. Guan BJ, Wu HY, Brian DA. (2011). An optimal cis-replication stem-loop IV in the 5' untranslated region of the mouse coronavirus genome extends 16 nucleotides into open reading frame 1. *J Virol.* ;85:5593–5605.
40. Liu P, Li L, Keane SC, et al. (2009). Mouse hepatitis virus stem-loop 2 adopts a uYNMG(U)a-like tetraloop structure that is highly functionally tolerant of base substitutions. *J Virol.* ;83:12084–12093.
41. Goebel SJ, Miller TB, Bennett CJ, et al. (2007). A hypervariable region within the 3' cis-acting element of the murine coronavirus genome is nonessential for RNA synthesis but affects pathogenesis. *J Virol.*;81:1274–1287.
42. Raman S, Bouma P, Williams GD, et al. (2003). Stem-loop III in the 5' untranslated region is a cis-acting element in bovine coronavirus defective interfering RNA replication. *J Virol* ;77:6720–6730.
43. Williams GD, Chang RY, Brian DA. (1999). A phylogenetically conserved hairpin-type 3' untranslated region pseudoknot functions in coronavirus RNA replication. *J Virol.* ;73:8349–8355.
44. Keck JG, Makino S, Soe LH, et al. (1987). RNA recombination of coronavirus. *Adv Exp Med Biol.*;218:99–107.
45. Lai MM, Baric RS, Makino S, et al. (1985). Recombination between nonsegmented RNA genomes of murine coronaviruses. *J Virol.* ;56:449–456.

46. Krijnse-Locker J, Ericsson M, Rottier PJM, et al. (1994). Characterization of the budding compartment of mouse hepatitis virus: evidence that transport from the RER to the Golgi complex requires only one vesicular transport step. *J Cell Biol.* ;124:55–70.
47. Tooze J, Tooze S, Warren G. (1984). Replication of coronavirus MHV-A59 in sac-cells: determination of the first site of budding of progeny virions. *Eur J Cell Biol.* ;33:281–293.
48. de Haan CA, and Rottier PJ. (2005). Molecular interactions in the assembly of coronaviruses. *Adv Virus Res.*;64:165–230.
49. Bos EC, Luytjes W, van der Meulen HV, et al. (1996). The production of recombinant infectious D I-particles of a murine coronavirus in the absence of helper virus. *Virology.* ;218:52–60.
50. Raamsman MJ, Locker JK, de Hooge A, et al. (2000). Characterization of the coronavirus mouse hepatitis virus strain A59 small membrane protein E. *J Virol.* ;74:2333–2342.
51. Corse E, and Machamer CE. (2000). Infectious bronchitis virus E protein is targeted to the Golgi complex and directs release of virus-like particles. *J Virol.* ;74:4319–4326.
52. Wang F, and Stohlman SA, Fleming JO. (1990). Demyelination induced by murine hepatitis virus JHM strain (MHV-4) is immunologically mediated. *J Neuroimmunol.* ;30:31–41.
53. McIntosh K, Becker WB, Chanock RM. (1967). Growth in suckling-mouse brain of “IBV-like” viruses from patients with upper respiratory tract disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* ;58:2268–227.
54. Bradburne AF, Bynoe ML, Tyrell DAJ. (1967). Effects of a “new” human respiratory virus in volunteers. *Br Med J.* ;3:767–769.
55. Hamre D, and Procknow JJ. (1966). A new virus isolated from the human respiratory tract. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med.*;121:190–193.
56. Woo PC, Lau SK, Chu CM, et al. (2005). Characterization and complete genome sequence of a novel coronavirus, coronavirus HKU1, from patients with pneumonia. *J Virol.* ;79:884–895.
57. van der Hoek L, Pyrc K, Jebbink MF, et al. (2004). Identification of a new human coronavirus. *Nat Med.*;10:368–373.
58. van der Hoek L, Sure K, Ihorst G, et al. (2005). Croup is associated with the novel coronavirus NL63. *PLoS Med.* ;2:e240.
59. Ge XY, Li JL, Yang XL, et al. (2013). Isolation and characterization of a bat SARS-like coronavirus that uses the ACE2 receptor. *Nature.* ;503:535–538.
60. Peiris JS, Yuen KY, Osterhaus AD, et al. (2003). The severe acute respiratory syndrome. *N Engl J Med.*;349:2431–2441.
61. Spiegel M, Schneider K, Weber F, et al. (2006). Interaction of severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus with dendritic cells. *J Gen Virol.* ;87:1953–1960.
62. Law HK, Cheung CY, Ng HY, et al. (2005). Chemokine upregulation in SARS coronavirus infected human monocyte derived dendritic cells. *Blood.*;106:2366–2376.
63. Lau YL, and Peiris JSM. (2005). Pathogenesis of severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Curr Opin Immunol.*;17:404–410.
64. Roberts A, Paddock C, Vogel L, et al. (2005). Aged BALB/c mice as a model for increased severity of severe acute respiratory syndrome in elderly humans. *J Virol.* ;79:5833–5838.
65. Zhao J, Zhao J, Perlman S. (2010). T cell responses are required for protection from clinical disease and for virus clearance in severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-infected mice. *J Virol.* ;84:9318–9325.
66. Zhao J, Zhao J, Legge K, et al. (2011). Age-related increases in PGD(2) expression impair respiratory DC migration, resulting in diminished T cell responses upon respiratory virus infection in mice. *J Clin Invest.*;121:4921–4930.
67. Zaki AM, van Boheemen S, Bestebroer TM, et al. (2012). Isolation of a novel coronavirus from a man with pneumonia in Saudi Arabia. *N Engl J Med.* ;367:1814–1820.



68. van Boheemen S, de Graaf M, Lauber C et al (2012) Genomic characterization of a newly discovered coronavirus associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome in humans. *MBio* 3. doi:10.1128/mBio.00473-12.
69. Meyer B, Muller MA, Corman VM, et al. (2014). Antibodies against MERS coronavirus in dromedary camels, United Arab Emirates, 2003 and 2013. *Emerg Infect Dis.* ;20:552–559.
70. Eckerle I, Corman VM, Muller MA, et al. (2014). Replicative capacity of MERS coronavirus in livestock cell lines. *Emerg Infect Dis.* ;20:276–279.
71. Memish ZA, Cotten M, Meyer B, et al. (2014). Human infection with MERS coronavirus after exposure to infected camels, Saudi Arabia, 2013. *Emerg Infect Dis.* ;20:1012–1015.
72. Azhar EI, El-Kafrawy SA, Farraj SA, et al. (2014). Evidence for camel-to-human transmission of MERS coronavirus. *N Engl J Med.* .
73. Raj VS, Mou H, Smits SL, et al. (2013). Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 is a functional receptor for the emerging human coronavirus-EMC. *Nature.* ;495:251–254.
74. Zhao J, Li K, Wohlford-Lenane C, et al. (2014). Rapid generation of a mouse model for Middle East respiratory syndrome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* ;111:4970–4975.
75. Emery SL, Erdman DD, Bowen MD, et al. (2004). Real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction assay for SARS-associated coronavirus. *Emerg Infect Dis.* ;10:311–316.
76. Gaunt ER, Hardie A, Claas EC, et al. (2010). Epidemiology and clinical presentations of the four human coronaviruses 229E, HKU1, NL63, and OC43 detected over 3 years using a novel multiplex real-time PCR method. *J Clin Microbiol.* ;48:2940–2947.
77. Cinatl J, Morgenstern B, Bauer G, et al. (2003). Treatment of SARS with human interferons. *Lancet.* ;362:293–294.
78. Stockman LJ, Bellamy R, Garner P. (2006). SARS: systematic review of treatment effects. *PLoS Med.* ;3:e343.
79. Yount B, Roberts RS, Lindesmith L, et al. (2006). Rewiring the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) transcription circuit: engineering a recombination-resistant genome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* ;103:12546–12551.
80. Graham RL, Becker MM, Eckerle LD, et al. (2012). A live, impaired-fidelity coronavirus vaccine protects in an aged, immunocompromised mouse model of lethal disease. *Nat Med.* ;18:1820–1826.
81. Laude H, Van Reeth K, Pensaert M. (1993). Porcine respiratory coronavirus: molecular features and virus-host interactions. *Vet Res.* ;24:125–150.
82. Saif LJ. (2004). Animal coronavirus vaccines: lessons for SARS. *Dev Biol (Basel)* ;119:129–140.
83. Wang L, Junker D, Collisson EW. (1993). Evidence of natural recombination within the S1 gene of infectious bronchitis virus. *Virology.* 192:710–716.
84. Vennema H, de Groot RJ, Harbour DA, et al. (1990). Early death after feline infectious peritonitis virus challenge due to recombinant vaccinia virus immunization. *J Virol.* ;64:1407–1409.
85. Züst R, Cervantes-Barragan L, Kuri T, et al. (2007). Coronavirus non-structural protein 1 is a major pathogenicity factor: implications for the rational design of coronavirus vaccines. *PLoS Pathog.* ;3:e109.

الخلاصة

في الفترة الأخيرة من عام 2019 ، تم التعرف على فيروس كورونا الجديد ، المسمى حاليًا SARS-CoV-2 ، بسبب انتشار مرض الجهاز التنفسي الحاد في الصين في ووهان. خلال شهر فبراير 2020 ، حددت منظمة الصحة العالمية (WHO) أن COVID-19 هو مرض فيروس كورونا 2019. على مدى السنوات الخمسين الماضية، كانت هناك أعداد مختلفة من الفيروسات التاجية التي تسببت في مجموعة واسعة من الأمراض البشرية والطب البيطري. ومن المتوقع أن تستمر هذه الفيروسات في الظهور والتطور وتتسبب في الانتشار بالبشر بسبب قدرة الفيروسات على إعادة التجميع والتحول ونقل المرض إلى أنواع وخلايا عديدة. فيما يتعلق بفيروس كورونا، ستستمر الأبحاث المستقبلية في التحقيق في العديد من جوانب تكاثر الفيروس وامراضه. بداية، فإن فهم ميول الفيروسات المعنية للطفرة بين الأنواع، وتأكيد الإصابة في مضيف جديد آخر ، وتحديد المستودعات الكبيرة لفيروسات كورونا سيساعد بشكل كبير في التنبؤ بآين ومتى ستحدث الأوبئة المحتملة. نظرًا لأن الخفايش تبدو مستودعًا مهمًا لهذه الفيروسات، فسيكون من المثير للاهتمام تحديد كيفية تجنب تطور المرض سريريًا والعدوى المستمرة. ثانيًا، تحديد كيفية ترميز البروتينات غير الهيكلية والملحقة للفيروس عبر بقايا فيروسات غير محددة وبدون وظيفة محددة وأيضًا يكون مهمًا لتحديد آليات عمل هذه البروتينات بالإضافة إلى تحديد دورها البارز في تضاعف الفيروس ثم أحداث المرض. تحتاج هذه الدراسات إلى التوجه بشكل رئيسي لتحديد مقدار العلاج المناسب الذي يهدف للسيطرة على العدوى. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، توجد أيضًا العديد من الإنزيمات الفريدة المشفرة عبر فيروسات كورونا، مثل ADP-ribose-1 1-phosphatase ، في حقيقيات النوى الأعلى، مما يجعل دراستها قابلة للتطبيق لفهم الجوانب العامة للبيولوجيا الجزيئية والكيمياء الحيوية. ثالثًا، وبالمثل، فإن الحصول على صورة كاملة لتعقيدات RTC سيوفر إطارًا لفهم عملية تكرار الحمض النووي الريبي الفريدة التي تستخدمها هذه الفيروسات. أخيرًا ، فإن تحديد كيفية تسبب فيروسات كورونا في حدوث المرض ثم فهم الاستجابة المناعية للمضيف لمسببات الأمراض سيحسن بشكل كبير قدرتنا على تصنيع اللقاحات وتقليل عبء المرض.

